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Abstract: The current blended learning method, which mixes online and traditional classroom instruction, is appropriate for the epidemic. According to various research studies, blended learning benefits students by allowing them to study independently without regard for location or time constraints. This article explores and describes the use of the blended learning paradigm during a pandemic using a meta-analysis technique. The objective is to determine the effect of blended learning on learning outcomes; according to the conclusions of a research released in 2021 based on ten published articles, employing the blended learning approach greatly improved learning outcomes during the pandemic, with an effect size value of 1.21. However, the outcomes of this study suggest that publication bias exists as a result of an unbalanced distribution of effect sizes.
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INTRODUCTION

The epidemic has prompted Indonesia's educational system to speed its transformation to meet the demands of the digital era. The Internet has developed into a great source of knowledge and a viable alternative method of education. In times of pandemics, the Internet motivates students, makes teaching more interesting, and promotes critical lessons to be distinctive (Firman Edi et al., 2021). Throughout the epidemic, educators worked diligently to adapt to new teaching techniques to reduce the losses. During the Covid-19 epidemic, educators also become learners and jointly adjust to online teaching and learning (Samsir et al., 2021).

By integrating online and conventional classroom-based instruction, blended learning is the proper way during pandemic times (face-to-face learning). It necessitates the actual presence of teachers and students and some student control over time, location,
path, and speed, as well as educational and technology assets to facilitate online engagement (Saboowala & Manghirimalani Mishra, 2021). According to research, blended learning enables students to study more flexibly and freely, without being constrained by geography or time constraints (Verawardina et al., 2020). Due to the reduced amount of class meetings, the blended learning paradigm increases student performance and results in more effective learning. Additionally, this strategy allows teachers to devote more time to learning activities that engage students in developing their talents (Graham et al., 2013).

Using meta-analysis techniques, this paper evaluates and explains the implementation of blended learning models in pandemic situations. The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of blended learning on learning outcomes. This research is confined to the year 2021 in Indonesia's pandemic period, based on studies from various levels of schooling. Several prior research using the same technique concluded that blended learning results in flexible learning incorporating various facilities and engagement activities. However, in its implementation, a distinct balance of offline and online learning must be maintained (Verawardina et al., 2020). While in research (Balakrishnan et al., 2021) indicates that blended learning improves performance and academic achievement in pharmaceutical education, conventional instruction does not. The COVID-19 epidemic fundamentally altered the educational landscape, changing it from traditional classroom instruction to online learning.

Additionally, blended learning is thought to be capable of improving learning outcomes at all levels of school. Its application to primary school pupils is mainly concerned with exploration to build knowledge and engage with contextual learning environments. At the same time, implementation at the junior high school level focuses more on collaboration for the advancement and application of science. At the senior level, high school and college place a greater emphasis on freedom and collaboration when developing ideas or concepts. However, blended learning has a moderate effect on motivation and self-regulated learning (Lusa et al., 2021).

**METHOD**

The meta-analysis method was utilized in this study, a quantitative statistic used to aggregate the results of prior research to get a definitive conclusion. Meta-analysis is the statistical examination of a collection of research-based individual analyses in order to combine the findings (Santos & Prudente, 2021). Meta-analysis is a type of survey that makes use of secondary data. The secondary data for this study comes from the post-test scores for experimental and control courses in an article on blended learning application research (Mubai et al., 2021).

Meta-analysis was performed in this study to assess various degrees of comprehension of a publication. Meta-analysis is also defined as the process of categorizing a subject, theme, or field of research according to specific criteria and evaluating the results quantitatively. Meta-analysis is a statistical technique that allows for the generalization of data from several studies (Öztop & Nayci, 2021).

**Identification and Data Collection**

This step is used to identify and gather data for the studies included in the meta-analysis. Primary indexing services such as Google Scholar and Scopus are utilized by databases via publish and perish software. The investigation was conducted in 2021, presuming that a pandemic had already begun that year.
Specific criteria must be completed (Yakar, 2021) (Öztop & Nayci, 2021) in order to determine which studies should be included in this meta-analysis:

- Studies in the form of articles published in national or international reference journals or published in Indonesian or English published in 2021.
- Each research must conduct a comparison of the experimental and control groups. Each group's pre- and post-test values must be determined and the statistical data necessary for computing the effect size.
- Studies should have the statistical information necessary for meta-analysis such as sample size, average, standard deviation, etc.

Figure 1 demonstrates and highlights the complete process of identifying and selecting studies for this study.

**Figure 1. Flow diagram of article selection process**

**Effect Size and Data Analysis**

Meta-analysis necessitates the representation of scientific investigations in terms of the magnitude of the effect. The frequency of phenomena in a population or the standard value for different scaling instruments in each research can be used to quantify an impact. Applying various impact size indices is critical for getting traditional values and presenting accurate study findings or interpretations (Yilmaz & Batdi, 2021). The primary effect size was calculated using a standard average difference, an estimate of weight between the experimental and control groups in the study. This study demonstrates the size of the favorable effect. The post-test is employed to compute effect size to adjust for variations in settings such as prior trials (Robat et al., 2021). Effect size formula as follows:

\[ ES = \frac{(M_e - M_c)}{SD} \]

Where ES represents the effect size, \( M_e \) is the mean of the experimental class, \( M_c \) represents the mean of the control class, and SD denotes the combined expected value. This calculating method generates results that are then interpreted in terms of the impact size classification table (Cohen et al., 2002). The effect size criteria are calculated on a four-point scale: extremely low (0.00 - 0.20), low (0.21-0.50), medium (0.51-1.00), and high (more than 1.00).

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Post-test data were collected following a study of ten research publications on blended learning post-pandemic in Indonesia, based on learning outcomes from control and experimental classrooms across many disciplines. Table 2 summarizes the data gathered:
Table 2. Meta-analysis data of articles related to blended learning in 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Titles</th>
<th>Post-Test Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hafidzah</td>
<td>Pengaruh Penggunaan Blended Learning Berbasis LMS Moodle Terhadap Nilai Akademik Mahasiswa (Hafidzah, 2021)</td>
<td>84.6 75.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kevin Alexander Johannes Pangkerego, Luckie Sojow, Hiskia Kamang Manggopa</td>
<td>Terhadap Hasil Belajar Simulasi Dan Komunikasi Digital Siswa Kelas X SMK Negeri 1 Tomohon (Pangkerego et al., 2021)</td>
<td>65.3 73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Prihadi, Murtono, Gunawan Setiadi</td>
<td>Effectiveness of Blended Learning to Improve Critical Thinking Skills and Student Science Learning Outcomes (Prihadi et al., 2021)</td>
<td>86.5 71.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Novelin Natalia Andhy, Arie Salmon Matius Lumenta</td>
<td>Analysis of The Influence Digital Badges of Module E-Learning Against The Process and Results of Blended Learning (Andhy &amp; Lumenta, 2021)</td>
<td>95 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Iik Siti Koyimah, Yeyen Suryani, Atin Nuryatin</td>
<td>Pengaruh Penerapan Blended Learning Dalam Model PBL Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 (Koyimah et al., 2021)</td>
<td>62 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ahmad Kholiql Amin, I Nyoman Sudana Degeng, Punaji Setyosari, Ery Tri Djamtika</td>
<td>The Effectiveness of Mobile Blended Problem Based Learning on Mathematical Problem Solving (Amin et al., 2021)</td>
<td>70.45 75.4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Achmad Fanani, Akhmad Qomaru Zaman</td>
<td>Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Blended Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar PPKn Siswa Kelas VII SMP Negeri 1 Sukodono (Fanani &amp; Zaman, 2021)</td>
<td>63.7 71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Indah Pramesti</td>
<td>Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Blended Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas VII SMP Negeri 3 Ngrambe Tahun Pembelajaran 2020/2021 (Pramesti, 2021)</td>
<td>69.8 70.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Adinda Rahmi Putri, M. Fakhiruddin, Muhammad Hasmi Yanuardi</td>
<td>Pengaruh Penggunaan Model Blended Learning Berbasis Microsoft Teams terhadap Minat Belajar Siswa pada Pembelajaran Sejarah di SMA Negeri 3 Bukittinggi (Putri et al., 2021)</td>
<td>75.1 65.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Indah Aritonang, Islamiani Safitri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 summarizes peer-reviewed studies and post-test data from experimental and control classes regarding the effect of several disciplines on blended learning. The findings of this table are depicted in figure 2 below as a graph. The analysis of the effect of digital badges on Moodle e-learning using experimental research methodologies obtained the highest scores in both the experimental and control classes. The results indicated that the learning model utilizing badges in Programming I had a more significant impact on student learning outcomes than traditional learning models / no use of badges.

The results indicated that out of 10 articles examined, the average gain in the experimental class was 79.18, and in the control class was 67.29. As a result, the standard deviation for these two classes is combined with being 9.86. Table 2 summarizes the statistical analysis's findings based on each article's post-test score.

Table 2. Statistical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>79.18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>67.29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pooled standard deviation 9.86
p-value for difference in SDs 0.33
Mean Difference 11.89
Effect Size 1.21

The data in Table 3 represent the results of testing two classes. Along with the standard pooling value, a p-value of 0.33 was obtained for the difference in SDs. While the average difference is 11.89, the effect size is 1.21. According to the interpretation in Table 1, blended learning methods significantly influence learning outcomes during pandemics. According to the paper, blended learning increases learners' enthusiasm to
learn and improves learning outcomes, as indicated by the highest post-test scores in experimental and control classrooms (Abroto et al., 2021). While another study indicates (Rahayu & Iswari, 2021) that students who use blended learning approaches Achieve Minimum Completion (KKM) with enhanced cognitive learning results in the moderate category. Additionally, blended learning can help students enhance their critical thinking abilities and academic achievements in science subject (Prihadi et al., 2021). While blended learning, as implemented through Moodle as a Learning Management System (LMS), affects cognitive learning outcomes and student freedom (Sari & Amalia, 2021).

![Figure 3. Forest plot of effect size](image)

Figure 3 illustrates a graphic forest plot for each size effect identified in each research. Only one research found a negative effect size ranging from -0.74 to 1.78 (Andhy & Lumenta, 2021), whereas the other studies found a positive effect size. Because the aggregate size effect value is significant, blended learning approaches used during the pandemic in 2021 will significantly impact learning outcomes.

![Figure 4. Funnel chart](image)

However, in Figure 4 Funnel Chart, there are indicators of publication bias due to the asymmetry of the effects size research. Egger tests in Table 4 confirm this, with p-value of 0.038 higher than the significance level of 0.05.
### Table 4. Egger regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>CI LL</th>
<th>CI UL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>11.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **CONCLUSION**

  The use of blended learning models during pandemic periods significantly impacts learning outcomes, as indicated by a size effect value of 1.21 based on ten publications evaluated. Only one research showed a negative effect size between -0.74 and 1.78, while another produced a positive effect size between -0.74 and 1.78. This elevated level of impact results in an increase in the desire for learning outcomes. Additionally, blended learning has been shown to boost learners' critical thinking abilities. However, the findings of this study indicate that publication bias exists as a result of the distribution of asymmetrical size effects on the Funnel Chart.
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