

# **STUDENTS' CONSTRAINTS IN READING DESCRIPTIVE TEXT AT THE THIRD YEAR STUDENTS OF SMPN 6 BANDAR LAMPUNG**

**Anisa Nurul Hilya, Cucu Sutarsyah, Mahpul**

**Email: annisahilya6@gmail.com Mobile Phone: +6289630914311**

**English Department of Teacher training and education faculty Lampung university**

**Abstrak.** Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk meneliti masalah dan perbedaan kemampuan antara siswa yang memiliki nilai tinggi dan siswa yang memiliki nilai rendah dalam membaca teks deskriptif. Penelitian ini termasuk ke dalam penelitian kualitatif. Subjek penelitian ini adalah 20 siswa dari Kelas IX A SMP N 6 Bandar Lampung, dan mereka dibagi menjadi dua kelompok berdasarkan nilai mereka. Siswa yang memperoleh nilai di atas KKM adalah anggota Grup A dan yang memperoleh nilai di bawah KKM adalah anggota Grup B. Tes membaca dan wawancara digunakan sebagai instrumen penelitian. Data yang diperoleh dianalisis menggunakan analisis deskriptif. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa hanya ada 1 siswa yang mendapatkan nilai di atas KKM; sementara 19 siswa lainnya mendapatkan nilai di bawah KKM. Di samping itu, perbedaan keterampilan dalam pemahaman membaca antara siswa Grup A dan B secara signifikan tidak berbeda dan masalah yang mereka hadapi juga relatif sama.

**Abstract.** The aim of the research was to investigate the constraints and ability of the students having high and low level in reading comprehension. This research was qualitative research. The subjects were 20 students of Class IX A of SMP N 6 Bandar Lampung, and they were divided into two groups based on their score. The students getting score above the standard score were grouped in Grup A; and those getting score under the standard score were grouped in Grup B. To gain the data, the study applied the reading test and interview. The data were analyzed by using descriptive analysis. The finding revealed that there was only one student getting high score above the standard score, while 19 other students got score under the standard score. Furthermore, the reading comprehension ability between the students of Group A and B was not significantly different and their constraints were relatively similar.

**Keywords:** constraints, descriptive text, reading comprehension

## INTRODUCTION

Reading is one of means used for communication between a writer and a reader. According to Grabe and Stoller (2002), reading is an ability to draw meaning from printed page and interpret information appropriately. Cameron (2001) states that reading is actually about understanding, it is not only about understanding the word or code but also understanding the message that is conveyed of the text. In addition, Eskey (2002) also asserts that reading is a complex process, because it involves both consciousness and subconsciousness of the reader. It means that comprehending and interpreting information of a text are important. The reader does not only know the words but also understand the message of the text.

Reading is not as easy as what people think for it requires not only to read series of sentences, but also to understand the content and the purpose of the text. Additionally, reading is also very important in the curriculum of high school. According to Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan (KTSP) for Junior High School, there are several kinds of reading texts that should be learnt and taught. One of the reading texts for the second grade of junior high school is descriptive text. In reading aspect, the goal of Standard of Competency and Basic Junior High School is being able to comprehend the descriptive text, either in spoken or written form. It can be understood that the second grade students of Junior High School should master reading skill appropriately. However, in reality, learning reading is not

something easy for Indonesian students.

According to ACDP Indonesia (2016), Indonesia is one of 12 countries having significantly low scores of international standard. The majority of the students feel difficult to read an English text so it makes them cannot fully understand the content of what they read. This problem probably appears because they lack in mastering vocabulary; as well, poor reading proficiency is a problem for many students due to many reasons. Hellekjaer (2009) reveals that the main problems encountered by students were unfamiliar vocabulary and slow reading. Moreover, reading and vocabulary are strongly connected (Fengning, 2002). As the person improves his skills in one, he improves his skills in the other. An individual with the richest and most vocabulary, however, can read more complicated and varied sources of information easily.

One of the previous researches was done by Zahari *et al.* (2013). They found that the difficulties the students confronted in reading recount text were resulted by some difficulties in terms of (1) finding general and specific ideas that was caused by the limitation of comprehending recount text and having poor vocabularies, (2) comprehending whole text as they did not have sufficient background knowledge as well as they could not activate their background knowledge well, (3) drawing an inference for they had short-term memory about what they read, (4) predicting the meaning of words in recount text as well as they did not have good

reading strategies in guessing unfamiliar words, and (5) applying English grammatical rule to discriminate or choose the best answer.

Furthermore, Putra (2010), in his study concerning students' ability in reading English texts, found that the students' reading comprehension in terms of (1) identifying topic of English texts is fair, (2) identifying main idea of English texts is fair, (3) identifying word reference of descriptive texts is good, (4) identifying synonym and antonym of descriptive texts is poor, (5) identifying location information of descriptive texts is good, and (6) identifying inference of descriptive texts is poor. In general, the students ability in reading English texts, averagely, was fair.

On the other side, Fitri (2013) revealed that whatever the kinds of text, as long as its content was unfamiliar to the participants, they would find difficulties in finding out the main idea in the reading texts and vice versa.

In reference to the foregoing studies already illustrated above, It could be inferred that students must have problems in reading comprehension, more accurately in some aspects of reading, i.e. vocabulary, identify main idea, getting specific information, and inference. With respect to all those reasons, therefore, the major purpose of this study was to investigate the constraints and ability of the students having high and low level in reading descriptive text.

## **METHODS**

This research was designed in form of qualitative research. The population of this research was the third grade learners of SMP N 6 Bandar Lampung; and from 35 students of Class IX A, the study only required 20 students as the participants. The students were then divided into 2 groups, group A for high level and group B for low level. Reading test and interview were employed as the research instrument to gather the data. This research was conducted in two meetings: first meeting for giving reading test intending to see the students' constraints, and the second meeting for undertaking the interview concerning their ability and constraints in doing the given test. To make sure that the data were valid, this study used triangulation. According to Setiyadi (2002), the use of triangulation is to enrich the data to get more accurate conclusion.

## **RESULTS**

Administering the investigation and data analysis, this research eventually could discovered the recent findings which, definitely, in reference to the research purpose. The research covered two steps in gaining the data, i.e. reading test and interview. The first step was giving the students reading test in; and the next step was interview. The students' constraints on reading comprehension were divided into three aspects of reading, such as specific information, inference and vocabulary. To be clearer, the table below will show the case.

**Table 1. The Specification of Students' Wrong Items in Reading Test**

No.	Students' codes	Five Aspects of Reading					Total of Difficulties
		Main Idea	Specific Information	Reference	Inference	Vocabulary	
1	FAS	1	2	1	2	3	9
2	AW	1	5	1	4	2	13
3	DMP	2	5	1	4	2	14
4	MRA	2	4	1	5	3	15
5	AP	1	5	0	3	4	13
6	CR	1	4	0	5	4	14
7	DW	1	1	0	2	3	7
8	SA	1	4	1	3	3	12
9	AS	1	5	0	4	6	16
10	MA	3	3	1	1	4	12
11	GF	3	6	1	6	5	21
12	HN	2	5	0	5	2	14
13	RK	2	3	0	5	2	12
14	SH	2	5	1	3	6	17
15	MR	1	6	0	2	6	15
16	FM	4	3	1	3	6	17
17	AF	1	3	1	3	2	10
18	DP	1	5	0	3	2	11
19	MI	1	3	1	3	2	10
20	SIA	2	4	0	4	1	11
	Total	33	81	11	70	68	263

From Table 1 presented above, it obviously indicates that the students have the problem in three aspects of reading, such as specification information, inference and vocabulary. It can be seen that the total wrong answers in specific information, inference, and vocabulary are, respectively, 81, 70, and 68. Consequently, the principal problems the students faced in reading comprehension are finding specific information, making inference and mastering vocabulary.

In the attempt to answer the research question "What constraints did high and low level students face in reading comprehension?", the researcher conducted reading test and interview. It was aimed to find out

the constraints which high and low level students faced in reading comprehension. The interview was administered outside the classroom to avoid the interference from other students and to maintain the concentration of the students being interviewed.

The result of analysis on the students' problems was explained by presenting the sample of interview in each problem, such as: lack of students' affective factors, lack of vocabulary knowledge, lack of sentence length, poor reading strategy and lack of understanding five aspects in reading.

In regard with the lack of students' interest problem, student 1 said,

based on the interview result, that reading activity was enjoyable. Even though he did not know some vocabularies, he still continued to read the whole text. It means that he was interested in reading English texts despite he faced some difficulties in interpreting the entire text because of poor vocabulary mastery. Then student 2 said that the content of the text given made him lazy to read the text. He thought it was boring to read it and he preferred to take a peek to his friends' work.

In the case of lacking vocabulary knowledge, based on the result of interview, student 1 said that many unfamiliar words appeared in the text so he tried to open the dictionary to help him find the meaning of word that he did not know. However, when the same word was asked in different task, he could not remember the meaning of that words because he had problem in memorizing the meanings of words. Then student 2 said that he had a problem in mastering vocabulary. He said he faced difficulty in understanding the meaning of word in a text but he was lazy to open the dictionary because it was wasting time.

In the matter of length of the sentence, student 1 said that he had problem in identifying main idea and information of the passage containing lots of long sentences because he did not understand the content of the text. Therefore, he had problem in making conclusion of the long text. Student 2, on the other side, had similar problem with student 1 yet he had different reason. He thought that the long text made him difficult to determine the answer of the questions asked. He also said

that long text made him confused about the content because of many vocabularies that he did not understand.

In the problem of poor reading strategy, student 1 said that he had a strategy to find information in the text. For example, when he faced questions about main idea of a paragraph he immediately read the paragraph asked then highlighted the words he taught as the answer. He guessed that the answer of main idea was the words which came a lot in that paragraph. On the contrary, while facing the same question, student 2 read the whole text repeatedly until he found the answer and to answer the next question, he would read the whole text again to find the answer.

In the sense of poor comprehension of five aspects in reading, student 1 said that he had problems in making inference and understanding vocabulary when he read the text, he did not understand the content of the text and got confused to make conclusion about the text. It was difficult for him because there were many vocabularies in the text that he did not understand. Meanwhile, student 2 said that he had problems in finding specific information and making inference. He got confused to make a conclusion by himself because the answer was not provided explicitly in the text. He also said that he had to read the text repeatedly to answer the questions about specific information and inference because it was difficult to guess the answer.

With reference to the research findings, it could be summed up that

the students still confused in finding information in the text. They got confused in determining information because they did not know the meaning of particular words. They could read the descriptive text and answer the questions of the text, but they still lacked understanding on the English text. This statement is actually supported by Ningsih (2014) pointing out that the students do not understand the English text because of lack of their vocabularies. Thus, they feel bored to reading and cannot get the meaning of the text.

## DISCUSSIONS

As revealed earlier, this present study found that the main problems in reading were divided into 5 factors of understanding English text. They, among other things, were lacks of: (1) students' affective factor, (2) vocabulary knowledge, (3) sentence length, (4) poor reading strategy, and (5) understanding five aspects in reading. The first factor was lack of students' affective. In identifying this problem, the researcher found that there were several students who had low motivation to learn English so that they had no interest to learn English. The less of interest was much influenced the inability of the students in reading. The students said reading was a difficult task, it happened since the text contained many difficult words and it made the students feel bored when they were reading; they hence did not know what they read. This is actually in line with the theory from Guthrie *et al.* (2007) affirming that if students' reading interests are weak, their competency grows little and their

quality as readers diminishes. It could be emphasized that if the students' have less of reading interest and motivation it could make them less good quality to read.

Despitefully, this research also found that the students got confused and could not thoroughly get ideas conveyed by the text because of the unknown words. The lack of vocabulary knowledge did hinder their comprehension. This finding was in line with Hellekjaer's statement (2009) which revealed that the main problems encountered by students were unfamiliar vocabulary and slow reading. In this research, students 1 and 2 said that they had problem in vocabulary. This shows that when reading, they often encountered many unfamiliar words in the text so that it was difficult to comprehend for them. The next common problem, according to their answers, were content of text, unfamiliar topic and difficulty in memorizing words.

Besides, this research also revealed that the students' lack of vocabulary influenced their reading ability. It is supported by Fengning's theory (2002). Fengning (2002) states that reading and vocabulary are strongly connected. As the person improves his skills in one, he improves his skills in the other. An individual with the richest and most vocabulary, can read more complicated and varied sources of information easily. This statement shows that vocabulary really supports students' reading ability. When the students lack in mastering vocabulary, they will face the difficulty in reading the texts.

Moreover, it was also found that they

had problem in identifying the main idea of the passage having very long sentences. This was because they had to consider anything that appeared on the printed text, so the longer the sentence, the more difficult it would be, and the relation of the various parts of the text would be difficult to short out. This statement is supported by Mc Whother (1989) who states that a passage with very long sentences can make reading more difficult and will force a reader to read more slowly. This is also the reason for the students to get the long sentence more difficult compared to the short sentence.

In addition, it was found that students mostly had problems in understanding five aspects of reading, more specifically, in finding specific information, making inference, and vocabulary. In these aspects, they answered many questions incorrectly if compared with the other aspects. It was supported by Mashulah's study (2013) which found that the majority of the students find difficulties in identifying main idea of the text, more than half of students made mistake in specific information in the text and many students cannot understand the structure of the text. Moreover, Putra (2010) found that the students' ability in identifying inference of descriptive texts is poor while in the other aspects the students' ability is good and fair. As well, this finding was in line with Mauli (2004) who found that being confused on vocabulary knowledge and grammatical rules are the biggest problems in finding main idea of students in MTs N 1 Kotabumi. It means that finding specific information, making inference, and

vocabulary are the problem students' face in common.

Besides analyzing the students' problems in reading, the study also compared the students' ability in comprehending reading texts between low and high level students. The finding showed that the ability between low and high level students did not indicate the specific difference in comprehending reading texts. It was proved by the result of their reading test. Their results indicated that their score in reading test was not significantly different. The results of their answers were quite same but they had different strategy to answer each question. It was proven by the interview which revealed that the students getting high score had better strategy than the students having low score. The students with high score did the guessing technique and opening dictionary in answering the questions; while the students with low score read the whole text repeatedly to answer the question so that they spent too much time to answer one question only. The statement is supported by Sutarsyah (2015) who found that the preliminary research are not very significant, for example, they said that their reading problem was when facing the text containing unknown topic. That means their reading problem deals with insufficient background knowledge.

Relating to the statement above, it is found that the students had problems, especially when they faced academic reading texts. They had difficulty in comprehending unfamiliar reading text. As a consequence, they were not able to answer the questions

correctly, especially, in understanding specific information and making inference since they did not understand the topic of the text they read and unfamiliar topic. In addition, they also had difficulty in vocabulary because they did not understand the vocabularies in the text. On the other hand, when they read familiar and interesting topics, they did not find many difficulties to answer the questions correctly.

## CONCLUSIONS

In line with the discussion of the research findings, some conclusions are drawn as follows:

1. The ninth students of SMPN 6 Bandar Lampung faced 5 problems in understanding English text, they were in terms of lacks (1) students' affection factors, (2) vocabulary mastery, (3) sentence length, (4) reading strategy, and (5) understanding in five aspects of reading.
2. Besides, the ability between the students with low and high levels did not show the specific difference in comprehending reading texts. It was evidenced by the result of their reading test which indicated that their score in reading test was not significantly different.

## SUGGESTIONS

In reference to the conclusions above, this research proposes some worthwhile suggestions as follows:

1. Students must be able to understand the reading of descriptive text in their English practice. They should also often practice by reading English books, magazines, and the other texts that can increase their knowledge to understand English text in their daily activity.
2. English teachers need to identify the students' weakness whether is good to improve their reading skill, but the teacher need to implement appropriate method to strengthen their reading skill.
3. Further researchers are suggested to find other problems of English learning process in another skill not only reading comprehension so that the researchers can find many problems faced by students in English learning process. Also, the future researchers can apply a strategy or method to solve the students' constraints in reading descriptive text

## REFERENCES

- ACDP Indonesia. 2016. Reading interest of Indonesian students still low. *Education News Monitoring Service*.<http://acdpendonesia.wordpress.com/2016/10/14/reading-interest-ofindonesian-students-still-low/> (Retrieved on Wednesday, 15th February 2017 at 10.15 am)



- Cameron, K. 2001. *Call and the challenge of chance*. United Kingdom: Intellect Books.
- Eskey, D. E. 2002. Reading and the teaching of L2 reading. *TESOL Journal* 11 (1).
- Eskey, R. 2002. *Teaching reading to English language learners*. England: A Reflective Guide.
- Fengning, Y. 2002. Context clues-a key to vocabulary development. *Forum* 32.3 (1994): 39, 150-165.
- Fitri, Y. 2013. *An analysis of students' difficulties in finding out main idea of English texts at the second year of Madrasah Aliyah Miftahul Huda Terbanggi Besar Lampung Tengah*. Bandar Lampung: Lampung University.
- Grabe, W., & Stoller, F. L. 2002. *Teaching and researching reading*. Harlow: Pearson Education limited
- Guthrie, J.T., Hoa, L.W., Wigfield, A., Tonks, S.M., Humenick, N., & Littles, E. 2007. Reading motivation and reading comprehension growth in the later elementary years. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 32, 282- 313.
- Hellekjær, G. 2009. *Academic English reading proficiency at the university level: A Norwegian case study. Reading in a Foreign Language*, 21.2, 198-222.
- Mashulah. 2013. *An analysis of students' difficulties in understanding English reading text (case study of descriptive text among the second grade students at MTs Miftahul Ulum, Duriwetan Maduran, Lamongan)*. Surabaya: State Islamic University of Sunan Ampel.
- Mauli, R. 2014. *An analysis of students' difficulties in finding main idea of English texts at the second year of Madrasah Tsanawiyah Negeri 1 Lampung Utara*. Bandar Lampung: Lampung University.
- Mc Whorter, K. 1989. *Guide to college reading*. New York: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Mc Whorter, K. 1989. *College reading study skills*. London: Scott, Foresman and Company
- Ningsih, S. 2014. *A descriptive study of students' difficulties in reading narrative text at eleven grade students of SMA Model Kabila*. Gorontalo: Gorontalo State University.
- Putra, M. 2010. *Students' difficulties in understanding the reading descriptive text at SMPN 1 Kapur IX*

*Karangrayung*.Padang :  
Padang University.

Setiyadi, B. 2002. *Penelitian dalam  
pengajaran bahasa asing*.  
Lampung: Universitas  
Lampung.  
Sutarsyah, C. 2015. *Reading theory  
and practice*. Yogyakarta:  
Graha Ilmu

Zahari., Rocky., Andi., Afriza., &  
Wisma. 2013. *An analysis of  
students' difficulties in  
reading recount text at SMKN  
3 Bengkulu*. Bengkulu :  
Bengkulu University.